AERT Last Revised 02/11/2008

FY 2008 WHIP - Pennsylvania Application Screening & Evaluation Ranking Summary Sheet

County				Team No.				
Name:	Applicant Name: Address:		Tract No					
Applica	tion No.							
1. The proposed human a series of human a series	ated to the applicant: contract previously held e producer have an existir e producer have a "compl roducer applying for the s roducer NOT ready, willin 25% - First Year, 50% -	ons above, (1) by the producer ag active contract wame practice on ag, and able to ac Second, 75% - 7	(other than riparia 4. Proposed project s Fish Passage & Hatargets Bog Turtle Conservation Strat thru 4) the participal been terminated/cancelled by the same land with an exist ctively implement the contr	cel) has potential direct connectivit in area) <u>OR</u> is inhabited by Threate upports priorities in the PA State Vabitat Restoration, Early Succession, Massasaugua Rattlesnake, specie egy, or Stream Corridor Protection int shall be tagged "LOW" property the Agency due to non compliant ration is not being followed and/or aractices and/or all resource concerning contract? act obligation with the following property tagged "LOW" priority, one tagged "LOW" priority, one tagged "LOW" priority, one tagged "LOW" priority, one tagged "LOW" priority, or the property of the priority of the priori	n & Endang /HIP plan, in all Habitat I listed in PA priority, determined the all required as were not a serventage of	ered species including Native Development, Ve Comprehensive o not rank e last three fisca contract provisi addressed? implementation	Grassland Habitat ernal Pools or Wildlife I years? ons not being met	
	<u> </u>		F	FJ				
Maximum Points	138							
Check Land Use/Fund	General	Special				Priority ed on points)	Check Box	
Priority based on total evaluation points from the Application Evaluation Ranking Tool (AERT), compared to the ranges listed at the right.	97 - 138				1	High		
	48 – 96				2	Medium		
	1 - 47				3	Low		
Conservation Practice and Estimated Costs					Total (Total Costs:		

FY 2008 WHIP - Pennsylvania Application Worksheet Tie Breaker Policy

In the event that one or more WHIP applications have the same score after ranking, the following system for breaking ties will be used by all field offices:

- 1. The highest Ranking Score of the applications for the sub-account will have priority for funding if available.
- 2. If the scores should be the same then the last two digits of the applicant's social security number or business id will be used. The applicant with the highest number will receive priority for funding if available.
- 3. If necessary proceed to three digits of the applicant's social security number or business id for the applicants tied in step 2. The applicant with the highest number will receive priority for funding if available.